GHS Classification

ID1367 CAS 756-79-6 Physical Hazards

Phosphonic acid, methyl-, dimethyl ester

Date Classified: Apr. 20, 2006 (Environmental Hazards: Mar. 31, 2006)

Reference Manual: GHS Classification Manual (Feb. 10, 2006)

Hazard class	Classification	symbol	signal word	hazard statement	Rational for the classification
1 Explosives	Not applicable	-	-	-	There are no chemical groups associated with explosive properties present in the molecules.
2 Flammable gases	Not applicable	-	-	-	Liquid (GHS definition)
3 Flammable aerosols	Not applicable	-	-	-	Not aerosol products
4 Oxidizing gases	Not applicable	-	-	-	Liquid (GHS definition)
5 Gases under pressure	Not applicable	-	-	-	Liquid (GHS definition)
6 Flammable liquids	Classification not possible	-	-	-	Although it is classified into 3 according to UNRTDG of Generic or N.O.S. entry, there is no flash point data. So it cannot be classified.
7 Flammable solids	Not applicable	-	-	-	Liquid (GHS definition)
8 Self-reactive substances and mixtures	Not applicable	-	-	-	There are no chemical groups associated with explosive or self-reactive properties present in the molecule.
9 Pyrophoric liquids	Classification not possible	-	-	-	No data available
10 Pyrophoric solids	Not applicable	-	I	-	Liquid (GHS definition)
11 Self-heating substances and mixtures	Classification not possible	-	-	-	Test methods applicable to liquid substances are not available
12 Substances and mixtures, which in contact with water, emit flammable gases	Not classified	-	-	-	Stable to water (the water solubility is obtained)
13 Oxidizing liquids	Classification not possible	-	-	-	No data available
14 Oxidizing solids	Not applicable	-	-	-	Liquid (GHS definition)
15 Organic peroxides	Not applicable	-	-	-	Organic compounds containing no −0−0− structure
16 Corrosive to metals	Classification not possible	-	-	_	No data available

Health Hazards

Hazard class	Classification	symbol	signal word	hazard statement	Rational for the classification
1 Acute toxicity (oral)	Not classified	-	-	-	SPECIES: Rat ENDPOINT: LD50 VALUE: 8210mg/kg REFERENCE SOURCE: RTECS(2004)
1 Acute toxicity (dermal)	Classification not possible	-	-	-	No data available
1 Acute toxicity (inhalation	: gas) Not applicable	-	-	-	Liquid (GHS definition)
1 Acute toxicity (inhalation vapour)	Classification not possible	-	-	-	No data available
1 Acute toxicity (inhalation mist)	: dust, Classification not possible	-	-	-	No data available
2 Skin corrosion / irritation	Classification not possible	-	-	-	No data available
3 Serious eye damage / ey irritation	possible	-	-	-	No data available
4 Respiratory/skin sensitiz	ation sensitization: Classification not possible; Skin sensitization: Classification not	(Respiratory sensitization)-; (Skin	(Respiratory sensitization)–; (Skin sensitization)–	(Respiratory sensitization)-; (Skin sensitization)-	No data available

5	Germ cell mutagenicity	Category 1B	Health hazard	Danger		There is the reports of positives in NTP TR323 (1987) and RTECS (2004) by dominant fatality test, multi generation mutagenicity could be considered. So it was set as Category 1B.
6	Carcinogenicity	Classification not possible	-	-	-	Since data is insufficient, it cannot classify. Although the carcinogenic to kidney was slightly suggested in male rats (NTP TR323 (1987), it was negative in the female rats. It's mouse was also inadequate for carcinogenicity evaluation because of number survivings decreased, although the clear positivity was not acknowledged.)
7	Toxic to reproduction	Category 2	Health hazard	Warning	damaging fertility or	In NTP TR323 (1987) and RTECS (2004), decreased conception rate and effects on male genitals, etc. have been reported in reproductive ability tests in rats and mice. The dominant lethal action is observed also in germ-cell mutagenicity. So it was set as Category 2.
8	Specific target organs/systemic toxicity following single exposure	Classification not possible	-	-	-	No data available.
9	Specific target organs/systemic toxicity following repeated exposure	Not classified	-	-		Although the toxicity to the kidney etc. was indicated (NTP TR323 (1987) and RTECS (2004)), all are remarkable high doses compared with a guidance value (more than 500mg/kg/day by oral), and were carried out the outside of Category.
10	Aspiration hazard	Classification not possible	-	-	-	No data available

Environmental Hazards

Hazard class	Classification	symbol	signal word	hazard statement	Rational for the classification
11 Hazardous to the aquatic environment (acute)	Classification not possible	-	-	-	No data available
11 Hazardous to the aquatic environment (chronic)	Classification not possible	-	-	-	No data available.